Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,546	LOVE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steven Shaw</u> .	. (4)	•
Date of Interview: 21 May 2007	Time: at around 11:57AM	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
<u>.</u>		
Claims discussed:		
14 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agrees to amend claims 14 and 19 as amendment is necessary in order to correct for some minor inform	indicated in the attached examing malities so as to place the applica	er's amendment. Such ation in better form for allowance.
Part III.		. '
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – it appropriate)